

**THE DESIGN AND DEVELOPMENT OF INFORMATION &
COMPUTER SYSTEMS FOR URITC**

**JOAN PECKHAM, LISA DIPIPPA,
AND VICTOR FAY WOLFE**

October 2001

URI-TC PROJECT NO. 536105

PREPARED FOR

**UNIVERSITY OF RHODE ISLAND
TRANSPORTATION CENTER**

DISCLAIMER

This report, prepared in cooperation with the University of Rhode Island Transportation Center, does not constitute a standard, specification, or regulation. The contents of this report reflect the views of the author(s) who is (are) responsible for the facts and the accuracy of the data presented herein. This document is disseminated under the sponsorship of the Department of Transportation, University Transportation Centers Program, in the interest of information exchange. The U.S. Government assumes no liability for the contents or use thereof.

Table of Contents

Abstract	ii
I. Overview of Project	2
II. Execution of Project	2
III. Results and Summary	3

Attachment, High Level System Design

Appendix A – Overall System Layout
Appendix B - Description of Participating Actors
Hierarchical Users' Structure
Main Use Cases
Major Participating Classes

The Design and Development of Information &

COMPUTER SYSTEMS FOR URITC
FINAL REPORT
URITC #536105

Joan Peckham, Lisa DiPippo, Victor Fay

Wolfe

OCTOBER 2001

I. OVERVIEW OF THE PROJECT: The purpose of the project is to provide the design of an infrastructure that will support ongoing and future research projects and the daily functioning of the transportation center. This includes statistical analyses and evaluations of products, systems and techniques for the development, maintenance, and scheduling of the intermodal transportation systems. This infrastructure will have several features that will facilitate ease of use, rapid initial development, and well designed growth and maintenance as follows:

- ◆ Real-time - features will permit the rapid monitoring, reporting, and response to modal traffic density, scheduling, and weather and accident conditions.
- ◆ Data warehousing and mining - These facilities will permit the storage of historical data for later access and analysis.
- ◆ Extensible and maintainable - Advanced software design techniques will be employed to assure that the system is extensible and maintainable. We will use a high-end software design tool, Rational Rose. Rose employs the industrial standard, UML for software analysis and design. While the initial purpose of the URITC system might be to monitor local traffic, accident, and weather patterns for the DOT (Department of Transportation), there might be a need to extend it to include other regions of the country, as well as to law enforcement agencies in this region. A good design ensures reusability and easy extension of the software and its design.
- ◆ Concurrency - One of the most important features of a database management system is to permit the concurrent access to data. This system will be designed and implemented from the beginning to support these features (even though many frequently employed file systems or lightweight database systems do not support this feature).
- ◆ Open and Free Software (whenever possible and practical) - Free software is software that is freely distributed and used by others. Open software is software that provides all or part of the source code to others so that they can freely modify for their own purposes. There are two strategies for this. First, all of the source code is available to the users (white box option).

Second, the modules of the system have well defined interfaces and thus are easily removed and replaced by other programmers.

- ◆ Web-based - The information system will be accessible from any location on the World Wide Web (WWW). This includes access to the transportation data and any free software that is developed by the students.

II. EXECUTION OF PROJECT

The project underwent several phases that included supported graduate students and one software engineering design team. In the initial phase a group of undergraduate students was led by a graduate student in a CSC 305 Software Engineering class to interview Professor Hunter of Civil Engineering. These students developed a preliminary design and prototype implementation. In the second phase, supported graduate student, Angela Uvarova, interviewed Professor Hunter, and talked with Cynthia Levesque and the employees at RI TMC about future ITS needs. Angela then used the software design tool provided by Rational as match to provide a high-level software architecture for a next generation ITS system.

III. RESULTS AND SUMMARY

The results of this design are provided in the attachment. The important accomplishments are as follows:

- ◆ Multidisciplinary - Computer science professors and students begin to get an idea of the ITS discipline, the state of the art, and the computer software needs of transportation researchers and professionals.
- ◆ Educational - One female student learns how to use a high-end software design tool and an open source database (Postgres). One software engineering class team begins the high-level software design on a "real" and multidisciplinary project.
- ◆ A blueprint is developed for a new generation and integrated ITS system. It serves as a basis for Year Two work with Professor Hunter in which we look in more detail at a slice of the integrated system with technical support for a web based travel time prototype using GIS and database technology.
- ◆ A preliminary design is developed and used in two funding proposals in an attempt to secure matching funds for continuation of this URITC ITS project.

This was a preliminary high level and practical design. No published works are expected from this. We expect it will take 3 years before we can identify publishable work and complete it. This is due to the multidisciplinary nature of the project. It takes some time to educate all partners and then identify viable results. The issue of integrating standalone systems and providing seamless data archiving and access capabilities is a problem not unique to the transportation domain. We are interested in abstracting this to the more general computing problems and expect to derive engineering, computer science and applied research results in the future.

We presented this work at the Thirteenth Rhode Island Transportation Forum on Friday, October 13th, 2000. We have submitted one paper to the Northeast Decision Sciences Annual Meeting entitled "Moving Smart in Rhode Island" This is based upon the Year II work that we have now carried out as a continuation of this Year I work. We thank the URI TC for their support in this project and for providing a venue for presentation of the preliminary results.

University of Rhode Island

Department of Computer Science and Statistic

Rhode Island
Transportation Information System.
(RITIS)

2000-2001

CONTENTS

DESCRIPTION OF SYSTEM ARCHITECTURE.....	8
APPENDIX A: Overall System Layout	13
APPENDIX B: Description of Participating Actors	15

Description of system architecture

The goal of this work was to provide a preliminary design for the Rhode Island Transportation Information System (RITIS). On the current stage of this project (after 5 months) the following task requirements described in the proposal are met:

Requirements,

System Design,

Software system product review (back-end components),

Software selection and middle level system.

Requirements.

We have had a series of consultations and discussions with Cynthia Levesque, TOC Manager, RI Dept. of Transportation and Dr. Chris Hunter, Dept. of Civil and Environmental Engineering of URI. The results are presented as formal descriptions or Use Cases (see Appendix B).

System design.

System will be implemented by using multi-tier model. The diagram presenting tiers layout can be found in Appendix A.

The layers of the systems are the following:

- Sensors – the devices presenting environmental and traffic information to the system (including video cameras);
- Sensors Interfaces – the software providing, delivering and storing sensor information into the database;

- Persistent Storage – enterprise level DBMS providing storage (warehousing) of the data;
- Business Logic – software providing system logic rules enforcement and data delivery to the Presentation Layer;
- Presentation – software providing the end user interfaces.

The detailed description of the sensors and sensors interface layers is not the responsibility of Transportation research team of Dept. of Computer Science but we expect to get this information from the other parties of this project.

We will describe our choice of DMBS for persistent storage in the consecutive sections as well as our choice of software technology for the business logic and presentation layers.

Software system product review and software selection.

We reviewed software systems, products and technologies for the following layers of the transportation system:

- Persistent Storage – platform + DBMS;
- Business Logic - platform + web server + dynamic web page content technology;
- Presentation.

We chose PostgreSQL as a DBMS for the persistent storage layer. It satisfies the following criteria:

- Open and free software (one of the project objectives);
- Scalability;

- Ease of administration;
- Performance;
- SQL compatibility;
- Programming support;
- Important features (replication ability, support triggers, support for stored procedures, transactions, locking);
- Geographic Information System (GIS) compatibility.

The candidates considered were

- MySQL,
- PostgreSQL,
- mSQL.

They were compared with each other by the above criteria as well as with Oracle.

The PostgreSQL team just released a new version (7.x), which significantly decreases a number of disadvantages of their DBMS and makes our choice even more reasonable.

As a technology for Business Logic layer we considered the following:

- CGI;
- ASP (Jscript, VBScript - Microsoft);
- PHP;
- Java Servlets;
- Netscape Java server scripts.

We didn't really think about CGI as real candidate for our system since all other technologies were much newer and were dedicated to replace CGI because of the lack of performance and other very important issues. We use it as a starting point for looking at other technologies - what we were trying to do is to avoid known problems with CGI.

The only real candidates to be used in our system were PHP and Java servlets (both: Java Server Pages and pure Servlets) since portability of the system is an important issue and ASP with Java server scripts are technologies used only with certain products of Microsoft and Netscape. They also are expensive products. PHP and Java servlets allow us to use freely available software for multiple platforms.

Eventually we decided to use Java Server Pages (JSP, an extension of Java servlet technology). We used the following criteria:

- Open free software;
- Performance;
- Ease of programming;
- Expandability;
- DBMS connectivity;
- Portability.

The task of choosing a platform or operating system was relatively easy. The only (open source) candidate was Linux, although there was a difficulty of choosing particular distribution (version) of Linux. Finally we decide to use the latest Red Hat Linux version.

We think that all user interfaces should be presented as web pages. It will provide uniform way of presenting information for all platforms. There is a number of freely

available web-browsers for all popular platforms. Our system will guarantee compatibility with Microsoft Internet Explorer 4.0 and later versions, Netscape Navigator 4.0 and later versions and some other browsers.

As a web server we decide to use Apache. It is free and open software providing support for JSP technology and available for all popular platforms. It is also one of the most popular pieces of server software.


All of the software described above defines basic hardware requirements (see documentation for Red Hat Linux 6.2, PostgreSQL 7.1 and Apache). All mentioned software packages and technologies can use a PC with a cheap common configuration. Of course the better the processor power, memory and hard drive, capacity, access speed and network bandwidth then the better the performance of the system will be. The acceptable parameters should be defined during the testing phase of the project.

Besides software and hardware design our work includes a conceptual design of essential portion of the system. For this purpose we used the Rational Rose 98i product. This software is designed to provide the software developer with a complete set of visual modeling tools for the development robust systems.

At this stage of the project we have actors, use cases, general classes and different kinds of their interactions and relations among the modules of the system (see Appendix B).

Appendix A: Overall System Layout

Overall System Layout



Appendix B: Description of Participating Actors

Description of Participating Actors.

Actor: Department of Transportation (DOT)

Description: Department of Transportation is a party of the information system that has access to all the possible information in the system.

Actor: Regular User/Research Team

Description: Regular user/Research team is a party of the information system that can request the certain information in the system.

Actor: Emergency Service

Description: Emergency Service is a party of the information system that can request certain information in the system.

Actor: Sensor

Description: Sensor is a party of the informational system that provides weather/road condition information to the system.

Actor: Police Department

Description: Police Department is a party of the informational system that provides traffic information to the system.

Actor: Dinamic Sign Board

Description: Dinamic Sign Board is a party of the information system that receives information from the system on a regular base.

Actor: Primary/Secondary Data Mining Agent

Description: Primary/Secondary Data Mining Agent is a party of information system that computes primary/secondary reports based on raw data or intermediate reports and puts them in the database.


Actor: Video Camera

Description: Video Camera is a party of the information system that provides traffic information to the system.

Actor: E-Mail Robot

Description: E-Mail Robot is a party of information system that sends information to users on a regular base.


HIERARCHICAL USERS' STRUCTURE



* Department of Transportation can be involved in any use cases defined for Regular User/Research Team and the Emergency Service actors.

Figure 1.

MAIN USE CASES



- * Specialized in later pages (see p. 33)
- ** Specialized in later pages (see p. 39)
- *** Specialized in later pages (see p. 24)

Figure 2.

USE CASE Request Specific Traffic Information

Overview:

The purpose of this use case is to provide a user with different traffic condition reports calculated in different intervals of time.

Actors:

DOT

Starting Point:

This use case begins when user chooses the appropriate option in the user menu.

Ending Point:

This use case ends when user chooses another option in the user menu.

Measurable Results:

Appropriate information appears in the screen.

Flow of Even

1. The system provides the user with the ability to choose the location of desired sensors information.
2. The system provides actor with ability to choose the type of reports to be shown (DDQV - Daily Design Quarter Value, DDHV - Daily Design Hour Value, AADT – Annual Average Daily Traffic, AWTV – Average Weekend Traffic Volume, Seasonal Variations in Traffic, Peak Hours (AM/PM)).
3. The actor chooses the desired information.
4. The actor is presented with the desired information.
5. If the system is unable to present information, the system executes alternate flow E1

Alternate Flow E1: The system is unable to provide information.

1. The system is unable to provide actor with desired information.
2. The system responds with a message indicating the reason.

Alternative Flow of Events:

The actor exits.

Business Rules:

None.

Use Case Extension:

None.

Outstanding Issues

None.

USE CASE: Maintain Traffic Information

Overview:

The purpose of this use case is to write traffic information read by video camera into database.

Actors:

Video camera

Starting Point:

This use case begins when video camera is ready (according to its software specification) to write.

Ending Point:

This use case ends when the information read by video camera is successfully written into database.

Measurable Results:

Appropriate information appears in the database tables.

Flow of Events:

To be define.

Alternate Flow E1: The system is unable to write information from sensors.

3. The system is unable to post traffic information to the appropriate tables.
4. The system notifies administrator about the problem and its reason.
5. The system writes problem's description and its reason to the log file.

Alternative Flow of Events:

None.

Business Rules:

To be define.

Use Case Extension:

None

Outstanding Issues

Flow of Events should be defined according video camera's software specification.
Business Rules may or may not be defined.

USE CASE: Maintain Road Work Information

Overview:

The purpose of this use case is to write road work information into the database.

Actors:

Department of Transportation/Watcher

Starting Point:

This use case begins when actor gets information about new road work.

Ending Point:

This use case ends when the system notifies the actor about successful writing of data into database.

Measurable Results:

Appropriate information appears in the database tables.

Flow of Events:

6. The actor selects the Add Road Work option on the specific screen.
7. The system presents the Add Road Work screen to the actor.
8. The actor enters the information.
9. The actor presses the submit button.
10. If the system is unable to add the accident, the system executes alternate flow E1.
11. The system validates and accepts the new accident.
12. The actor is presented with a message indicating a successful accident addition.

Alternate Flow E1: The system is unable to add an roadwork information.

6. The system is unable to post the road work to the road work table.
7. The system responds with a message indicating the reason.

Alternative Flow of Events:

The actor exits.

Business Rules:

1. The following fields cannot be blank: RoadWorkLocation, Starting Time, EndingTime, TypeofWork.

Use Case Extension:

None

Outstanding Issues

None.

USE CASE: Maintain Accident Information

Overview:

The purpose of this use case is to write accident information into the database.

Actors:

Police Department/Watcher

Starting Point:

This use case begins when actor gets information about a new accident.

Ending Point:

This use case ends when system notifies actor about successful writing data into database.

Measurable Results:

Appropriate information appears in the database tables.

Flow of Events:

13. The actor selects the Add Accident option on the specific screen.
14. The system presents the Add Accident screen to the actor.
15. The actor enters the information.
16. The actor presses the submit button.
17. If the system is unable to add the accident, the system executes alternate flow E1 or alternate flow E2.
18. The system validates and accepts the new accident.
19. The actor is presented with a message indicating a successful accident addition.

Alternate Flow E1: The system is unable to add an accident

8. The system is unable to post the accident to the accident table.
9. The system responds with a message indicating the reason.

Alternate Flow E2: Existence of similar information

1. The system has the similar information (location and time of accident).
2. The system responds with a message indicating presence of similar information by showing found similar information and asks actor whether continue or abort transaction.
3. The actor verifies his information with the existed information and continues or aborts transaction.

Alternative Flow of Events:

The actor exits.

Business Rules:

2. The following fields cannot be blank: AccidentID, AccidentTime, AccidentLocation, RoadCondition, Visibility, Traffic.

Use Case Extension:

None

Outstanding Issues

None.

USE CASE Compute Primary/Secondary Reports

Overview:

The purpose of this use case is to provide a system with regular reports based on information received from sensors or intermediate reports.

Actors:

Primary/Secondary Data Mining Agent

Starting Point:

This use case is started when it is time for agent to compute next report.

Ending Point:

This use case ends when appropriate information has successfully written into the database.

Measurable Results:

Appropriate information appears in database.

Flow of Events:

20. Actor accesses appropriate database (with information from sensor or including intermediate reports),
21. computes the necessary report,
22. writes information to appropriate database,
23. if there is a need cleans raw data.

Alternate Flow E1: The agent is unable to retrieve or submit the data.

10. The agent is unable to retrieve “raw” or to write report into database.
11. The message with the reason is sent to administrator.

Alternative Flow of Events:

None.

Business Rules:

1. The reports are computed on different regular bases stated by system manager.

Use Case Extension:

None.

Outstanding Issues

None.

USE CASE: Write Sensor Information

Overview:

The purpose of this use case is to write information read by sensor (any: traffic, weather, road condition) into the database.

Actors:

Sensor

Starting Point:

This use case begins when sensor is ready (according to sensor or its software specification) to write.

Ending Point:

This use case ends when the information read by sensor is successfully written into database.

Measurable Results:

Appropriate information appears in the database tables.

Flow of Events:

To be define.

Alternate Flow E1: The system is unable to write information from sensors.

12. The system is unable to post sensor information to the appropriate tables
(WeatherCondition, RoadCondition, TrafficInformation)
13. The system notifies administrator about the problem and its reason.
14. The system writes problem's description and its reason to the log file.

Alternative Flow of Events:

None.

Business Rules:

To be defined.

Use Case Extension:

None

Outstanding Issues

Flow of Events should be defined according to sensors or their software specification.
Business Rules may or may not be defined.

USE CASE Validate User

Overview:

The purpose of this use case is to check authorized access to the system or database.

Actors:

Police department, DOT, Emergency service

Starting Point:

This use case is started when user logs on the system.

Ending Point:

This use case ends when user is notified if he accesses the system or access is denied.

Measurable Results:

The appropriate message is shown on the screen.

Flow of Events:

24. The user enters the password.
25. The system checks it.
26. The system provides user with the appropriate message about his access to system.

Alternative Flow of Events:

The actor exits.

Business Rules:

None.

Use Case Extension:

None.

Outstanding Issues

None.

USE CASE Send Information

Overview:

The purpose of this use case is to send the information to the users on a regular base.

Actors:

E-Mail Robot

Starting Point:

This use case is started when it is time for e-mail robot to send information to the user.

Ending Point:

This use case ends when appropriate information has successfully sent.

Measurable Results:

None.

Flow of Events:

27. Actor accesses appropriate database, generates a messages and sends them to the appropriate users.

Alternate Flow E1: The agent is unable to send information.

15. The agent is unable to send the information.

16. The message with the reason is sent to administrator.

Alternative Flow of Events:

None.

Business Rules:

None.

Use Case Extension:

None.

Outstanding Issues

None.

SPECIALIZED “REQUEST COMMON INFORMATION” USE CASE




Figure 3. (Specializes Request Common Information Use Case for Figure 2.)

USE CASE Request Research Goal Information

Overview:

The purpose of this use case is to provide a user with information about weather and environmental conditions in particular place to be used in a research projects (this information may include more specific parameters such as solar radiation, atmospheric pressure etc.).

Actors:

Regular users/Research teams, DOT

Starting Point:

This use case begins when actor requests information about weather and environmental condition.

Ending Point:

This use case ends when actor receives weather and environmental condition information.

Measurable Results:

Appropriate information appears in the screen.

Flow of Events

28. The system provides actor with ability to choose the location of desired sensors information.
29. The actor chooses the location by clicking “sensor button” on the screen.
30. The system performs more detailed information about weather and road condition if any (depends on sensors’ specification) in this location.
31. If the system is unable to present information, the system executes alternate flow E1

Alternate Flow E1: The system is unable to provide information.

17. The system is unable to provide actor with desired information.
18. The system responds with a message indicating the reason.

Alternative Flow of Events:

The actor exits.

Business Rules:

3. The sensor’s marks are shown on the map on the main screen.
4. Popup with the check boxes represented the available choices appears after clicking on the particular mark.

Use Case Extension:

None

Outstanding Issues

1. The degree of granularity information about weather and road condition based on sensors' specification.

USE CASE Request Weather Information

Overview:

The purpose of this use case is to provide a user with information about weather and environmental conditions in particular place.

Actors:

Regular users/Research teams, Emergency service, DOT

Starting Point:

This use case begins when actor requests information about a weather condition.

Ending Point:

This use case ends when actor receives a weather condition information.

Measurable Results:

Appropriate information appears in the screen.

Flow of Even

32. The system provides actor with ability to choose the location of desired sensors information.
33. The actor chooses the location by clicking “sensor button” on the screen.
34. The system provides actor with ability to choose desired information from possible in this location.
35. The actor chooses the desired information.
36. The actor is presented with the desired information.
37. If the system is unable to present information, the system executes alternate flow E1

Alternate Flow E1: The system is unable to provide information.

19. The system is unable to provide actor with desired information.
20. The system responds with a message indicating the reason.

Alternative Flow of Events:

The actor exits.

Business Rules:

5. The sensor’s marks are shown on the map on the main screen.
6. Popup with the check boxes represented the available choices appears after clicking on the particular mark.

Use Case Extension:

Request information for research goals.

Outstanding Issues

None.

USE CASE Request Traffic Information

Overview:

The purpose of this use case is to provide a user with information about traffic conditions in a particular place.

Actors:

Regular users/Research teams, Emergency service, DOT

Starting Point:

This use case begins when actor presses “sensor mark” on the map on the main screen.

Ending Point:

This use case ends when actor receives a weather condition information.

Measurable Results:

Appropriate information appears in the screen.

Flow of Even

38. The system provides actor with ability to choose the location of desired sensors information.
39. The actor chooses the location by clicking “sensor button” on the screen.
40. The system provides actor with ability to choose desired information from possible in this location (weather, road condition, traffic).
41. The actor chooses the desired information.
42. The actor is presented with the desired information.
43. If the system is unable to present information, the system executes alternate flow E1

Alternate Flow E1: The system is unable to provide information.

21. The system is unable to provide the actor with desired information.
22. The system responds with a message indicating the reason.

Alternative Flow of Events:

The actor exits.

Business Rules:

7. The sensor’s marks are shown on the map on the main screen.
8. Popup with the check boxes representing the available choices appears after clicking on the particular mark.

Use Case Extension:

None.

Outstanding Issues

None.

USE CASE Request Existing Incidents

Overview:

The purpose of this use case is to provide a user with information about any existing incident in the system.

Actors:

Regular users/Research teams, Emergency service, DOT

Starting Point:

This use case begins when actor chooses appropriate menu item.

Ending Point:

This use case ends when actor receives requested information.

Measurable Results:

Appropriate information appears in the screen.

Flow of Events:

44. The user chooses appropriate menu option.
45. The system provides the actor with information about existing at current moment and affecting traffic incidents if any.
46. If the system is unable to present information, the system executes alternate flow E1

Alternate Flow E1: The system is unable to provide information.

23. The system is unable to provide the actor with the desired information.
24. The system responds with a message indicating the reason.

Alternative Flow of Events:

The actor exits.

Business Rules:

None.

Use Case Extension:

None.

Outstanding Issues

None.

USE CASE Request a Route.

Overview:

The purpose of this use case is to provide a user with information about route from one specified point to another.

Actors:

Regular users/Research teams, Emergency service, DOT

Starting Point:

This use case begins when the user selects “Request a route” options.

Ending Point:

This use case ends when user receives a direction from origin to destination and information about traffic conditions on his way.

Measurable Results:

Appropriate information appears in the screen.

Flow of Events:

47. The user provides the system with information about start and end points of his way.
48. The system provides user with the shortest route and gives traffic information if any.
49. The system provides user with ability to print received information.
50. If there is an accident or some road-work and as a result traffic jam, the system provides user with ability to request alternative road or transport alternative.

Alternate Flow E1: The system is unable to respond.

25. The system is unable to provide actor with desired information.
26. The system responds with a message indicating the reason.

Alternative Flow of Events:

The actor exits.

Business Rules:

9. Input for the system should be not key sensitive.

Use Case Extension:

1. Request alternative route.
2. Request transportation alternative.

Outstanding Issues

None.

USE CASE Request Alternative Route.

Overview:

The purpose of this use case is to provide a user with information about a route from one specified point to another.

Actors:

Regular users/Research teams, Emergency service, DOT

Starting Point:

This use case may begin after the use case “Request a route” ends and user submits “Request alternative route” button.

Ending Point:

This use case ends when user receives a direction from origin to destination and information about traffic conditions on the way.

Measurable Results:

Appropriate information appears in the screen.

Flow of Events:

51. The user provides the system with information about start and end points of his way.
52. The system provides user with the shortest from other possible routes and gives traffic information if any.
53. The system provides user with ability to print received information.
54. If there is an accident or some road-work and as a result traffic jam, the system provides user with ability to request alternative road or transport alternative.

Alternate Flow E1: The system is unable to respond.

27. The system is unable to provide actor with desired information.
28. The system responds with a message indicating the reason.

Alternative Flow of Events:

The actor exits.

Business Rules:

10. Input for the system should be not case sensitive.

Use Case Extension:

3. Request alternative route.
4. Request transportation alternative.

Outstanding Issues

None.

USE CASE Request Transport Alternative.

Overview:

The purpose of this use case is to provide a user with information about transport alternative may be used to get from one specified point to another.

Actors:

Regular users/Research teams, Emergency service, DOT

Starting Point:

This use case may begin after the use case “Request a route” ends and user submits “Request transport alternative” button.

Ending Point:

This use case ends when the user receives information about transport and path may be used to get from origin to destination.

Measurable Results:

Appropriate information appears in the screen.

Flow of Events:

55. The user provides the system with information about start and end points of his way.
56. The system provides user with the existing if any transport alternatives, paths and possible time to get from origin to destination.
57. The system provides user with ability to print received information.

Alternate Flow E1: The system is unable to respond.

29. The system is unable to provide actor with desired information.
30. The system responds with a message indicating the reason.

Alternative Flow of Events:

The actor exits.

Business Rules:

11. Input for the system should be not case sensitive.

Use Case Extension:

5. Request alternative route.
6. Request transportation alternative.

Outstanding Issues

None.

SPECIALIZED “SYSTEM MANAGEMENT” USE CASE




Figure 4. (Specializes System Management Use Case for Figure 2.)

USE CASE Setting Parameters for E-mail Robot.

Overview:

The purpose of this use case is to set a system's e-mail robot to send traffic and weather information to the users on some periodical base.

Actors:

DOT

Starting Point:

This use case is started when user chooses the appropriate menu item.

Ending Point:

This use case ends when information with appropriate parameters and properties has successfully written into database.

Measurable Results:

Appropriate information appears in database and the e-mail robot is configured to be ready to send information.

Flow of Events:

58. User chooses appropriate menu function.
59. The system displays the specific form to be filled.
60. User fills the form and submits the information.

Alternate Flow E1: The system is unable to perform user's request.

1. User does not change the previous parameters.
2. User exits.

Alternative Flow of Events:

None.

Business Rules:

None.

Use Case Extension:

None.

Outstanding Issues

None.

USE CASE Setting Parameters for Data Mining Agent.

Overview:

The purpose of this use case is to set a system's Primary and Secondary Data Mining Agent to compute regular reports based on sensors' raw information and previous reports.

Actors:

DOT

Starting Point:

This use case is started when user chooses the appropriate menu items.

Ending Point:

This use case ends when information with appropriate parameters and properties has successfully written into database.

Measurable Results:

Appropriate information appears in database and Data Mining Agents configured to be ready to compute information.

Flow of Events:

61. User chooses appropriate menu function.
62. The system displays the specific form to be filled.
63. User fills the form and submits the information.

Alternate Flow E1: The system is unable to perform the user's request.

3. User does not change the previous parameters.
4. User exits.

Alternative Flow of Events:

None.

Business Rules:

None.

Use Case Extension:

None.

Outstanding Issues

None.

USE CASE: Maintain Users' Accounts

Overview:

The purpose of this use case is to manage users' accounts and to assign their rights and privileges.

Actors:

DOT

Starting Point:

This use case begins when system manager (administrator) chooses the appropriate option in the user menu to create, delete or change users' accounts.

Ending Point:

This use case ends when the system manager (administrator) achieves his goals.

Measurable Results:

1. User account information is consistent up to date and the appropriate information is written into database.

Flow of Events:

1. Manager chooses the appropriate action (manage existing accounts or create new accounts).
2. If the activity selected is to manage existing accounts, the system displays form with written information and provides Manager with ability to edit or delete existing information.
3. If the activity selected is to create new account, the system displays the form to be filled.

Alternative Flow of Events:

None.

Business Rules:

None.

Use Case Extension:

None.

USE CASE Setting Parameters for Dynamic Sign Board.

Overview:

The purpose of this use case is to set Information (Dynamic Sign) Board to receive traffic and weather information in a regular basis.

Actors:

DOT

Starting Point:

This use case is started when user chooses the appropriate menu item.

Ending Point:

This use case ends when information with appropriate parameters and properties has successfully written into database.

Measurable Results:

Appropriate information appears in database and Information Board configured to be ready to work.

Flow of Events:

64. User chooses appropriate menu function.
65. The system displays the specific form to be filled.
66. User fills the form and submits the information.

Alternate Flow E1: The system is unable to perform user's request.

5. User does not change the previous parameters.
6. User exits.

Alternative Flow of Events:

None.

Business Rules:

None.

Use Case Extension:

None.

Outstanding Issues

None.

USE CASE Setting Sensors' Parameters.

Overview:

The purpose of this use case is to set sensors send traffic and weather information to the system on some periodic base.

Actors:

DOT

Starting Point:

This use case is started when user chooses the appropriate menu item.

Ending Point:

This use case ends when information with appropriate parameters and properties has successfully written into database.

Measurable Results:

Appropriate information appears in database and the sensor is configured to send information.

Flow of Events:

67. User chooses appropriate menu function.
68. The system displays the specific form to be filled.
69. User fills the form and submits the information.

Alternate Flow E1: The system is unable to perform user's request.

7. User does not change the previous parameters.
8. User exits.

Alternative Flow of Events:

None.

Business Rules:

None.

Use Case Extension:

None.

Outstanding Issues

None.

SPECIALIZED “MAINTAIN GENERAL INFORMATION” USE CASE




Figure 5. (Specializes Maintain General Information Use Case for Figure2.)

USE CASE: Maintain Trouble Report Information

Overview:

The purpose of this use case is to maintain trouble report information (information about breakages of sensors, cameras) in the database.

Actors:

DOT

Starting Point:

This use case begins when user chooses appropriate option in the user menu.

Ending Point:

This use case ends when the user selects activity QUIT.

Measurable Results:

Appropriate information can be written, edited or deleted from appropriate database tables.

Flow of Events:

4. User chooses the appropriate menu item.
5. The system displays the table containing all records.
6. If the activity selected is ADD, the system displays the TroubleReport screen where user can enter new records and then submit new information.
7. If the activity selected is EDIT, the system displays the TroubleReport screen where user can edit records.
8. If the activity selected is DELETE, the system displays confirmation window and then delete information.

Alternate Flow E1: The system is unable to perform users request.

31. The system is unable to perform users request.
32. The system notifies administrator about the problem and its reason.
33. The system writes problem's description and its reason to the log file.

Alternative Flow of Events:

None.

Business Rules:

1. The input should be not case sensitive.

Use Case Extension:

None

USE CASE: Maintain Location Information

Overview:

The purpose of this use case is to maintain location information in the database.

Actors:

DOT

Starting Point:

This use case begins when user chooses appropriate option in the user menu.

Ending Point:

This use case ends when the user selects activity QUIT.

Measurable Results:

Appropriate information can be written, edited or deleted from appropriate database tables.

Flow of Events:

9. User chooses the appropriate menu item.
10. The system displays the table containing all records.
11. If the activity selected is ADD, the system displays the location screen where user can enter new records and then submit new information.
12. If the activity selected is EDIT, the system displays the location screen where user can edit records.
13. If the activity selected is DELETE, the system displays confirmation window and then delete information.

Alternate Flow E1: The system is unable to perform users request.

34. The system is unable to perform users request.
35. The system notifies administrator about the problem and its reason.
36. The system writes problem's description and its reason to the log file.

Alternative Flow of Events:

None.

Business Rules:

2. The input should be not key sensitive.

Use Case Extension:

None

Outstanding Issues

None

USE CASE: Maintain Sensor Instrument Information

Overview:

The purpose of this use case is to maintain Sensor Instrument information in the database.

Actors:

DOT

Starting Point:

This use case begins when user chooses appropriate option in the user menu.

Ending Point:

This use case ends when the user selects activity QUIT.

Measurable Results:

Appropriate information can be written, edited or deleted from appropriate database tables.

Flow of Events:

14. User chooses the appropriate menu item.
15. The system displays the table containing all records.
16. If the activity selected is ADD, the system displays the SensorInstrument screen where user can enter new records and then submit new information.
17. If the activity selected is EDIT, the system displays the SensorInstrument screen where user can edit records.
18. If the activity selected is DELETE, the system displays confirmation window and then delete information.

Alternate Flow E1: The system is unable to perform users request.

37. The system is unable to perform users request.
38. The system notifies administrator about the problem and its reason.
39. The system writes problem's description and its reason to the log file.

Alternative Flow of Events:

None.

Business Rules:

3. The input should be not key sensitive.

Use Case Extension:

None

Outstanding Issues

None

USE CASE: Maintain Video Camera Information

Overview:

The purpose of this use case is to maintain Video Camera information (description) in the database.

Actors:

DOT

Starting Point:

This use case begins when user chooses appropriate option in the user menu.

Ending Point:

This use case ends when the user selects activity QUIT.

Measurable Results:

Appropriate information can be written, edited or deleted from appropriate database tables.

Flow of Events:

19. User chooses the appropriate menu item.
20. The system displays the table containing all records.
21. If the activity selected is ADD, the system displays the VideoCamera screen where user can enter new records and then submit new information.
22. If the activity selected is EDIT, the system displays the VideoCamera screen where user can edit records.
23. If the activity selected is DELETE, the system displays confirmation window and then delete information.

Alternate Flow E1: The system is unable to perform users request.

40. The system is unable to perform users request.
41. The system notifies administrator about the problem and its reason.
42. The system writes problem's description and its reason to the log file.

Alternative Flow of Events:

None.

Business Rules:

4. The input should be not case sensitive.

Use Case Extension:

None

Outstanding Issues

None

USE CASE: Maintain Support Service Information

Overview:

The purpose of this use case is to maintain support service information in the database.

Actors:

DOT

Starting Point:

This use case begins when user chooses the appropriate option in the user menu.

Ending Point:

This use case ends when the user selects activity QUIT.

Measurable Results:

Appropriate information can be written, edited or deleted from appropriate database tables.

Flow of Events:

24. User chooses the appropriate menu item.
25. The system displays the table containing all records.
26. If the activity selected is ADD, the system displays the SupportService screen where user can enter new records and then submit new information.
27. If the activity selected is EDIT, the system displays the SupportService screen where user can edit records.
28. If the activity selected is DELETE, the system displays confirmation window and then delete information.

Alternate Flow E1: The system is unable to perform users request.

43. The system is unable to perform users request.
44. The system notifies administrator about the problem and its reason.
45. The system writes problem's description and its reason to the log file.

Alternative Flow of Events:

None.


Business Rules:

5. The input should be not case sensitive.

Use Case Extension:

None

MAJOR PARTICIPATING CLASSES



The arrows show referential constraints within the database

Figure 6